Ī	Case 3:05-cv-00102-HDM-VPC Document 81 Filed 08/07/07 Page 1 of 2
1	
2	
3	
5	
6	
7	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	DISTRICT OF NEVADA
10	
11	HENRY J. WARD, 3:05-cv-00102-HDM-VPC
12	Plaintiff,
13	vs. ORDER ADOPTING MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S
14	JACKIE CRAWFORD, et al., REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION
15	Defendants/
16	The court has considered the report and recommendation of the
17 18	United States Magistrate Judge (#78) filed on July 10, 2007, in
19	which the Magistrate Judge recommends that this court enter an
20	order granting defendant's motion to dismiss (#67).
21	The court has considered the pleadings and memoranda of the
22	parties and other relevant matters of record and has made a review
23	and determination in accordance with the requirements of 28 U.S.C.

§ 636.

While the court is troubled by the fact that defendants denied the 12-15-03/1559 grievance as duplicative of a previously

24

25

26

## Case 3:05-cv-00102-HDM-VPC Document 81 Filed 08/07/07 Page 2 of 2

filed grievance in error, plaintiff was required to appeal that determination through the administrative process. Plaintiff failed to do so. The court must therefore dismiss this action for failure to exhaust administrative remedies pursuant to the Prison Litigation Reform Act of 1996, 42 U.S.C. § 1997e. Accordingly, the court ADOPTS AND ACCEPTS the report and recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge (#78). The defendants' motion to dismiss (#67) is GRANTED. It is so ORDERED. Dated this 7th day of August, 2007. 

Howard & MEKiller

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE